

THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

DEVELOPING STATISTICAL GUIDANCE FOR AFTERNOON LIGHTNING
ACTIVITY IN PORTIONS OF TWO SOUTH FLORIDA COUNTIES

By

JUSTIN MARSH WINARCHICK

A Thesis submitted to the
Department of Meteorology
in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science

Degree Awarded:
Summer Semester, 2004

The members of the Committee approve the thesis of Justin M. Winarchick defended on June 15, 2004.

Henry E. Fuelberg
Professor Directing Thesis

Kwang Yul Kim
Committee Member

Philip Cunningham
Committee Member

The Office of Graduate Studies has verified and approved the above named committee members.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I would like to express my sincerest thanks to my major professor, Dr. Henry E. Fuelberg, for his unconditional support, and his advice and guidance which has been invaluable during my graduate studies at Florida State University (FSU). I would also like to thank my committee members, Drs. Kwang Yul Kim and Philip Cunningham, for their involvement in my research.

I wish to thank my fellow members of the Fuelberg Lab, who have made the past year and a half enjoyable and memorable. I wish you all the best of luck in the future. I would like to extend a special thanks to Phillip Shafer, who I have worked closely with, and who has helped me countless times with my research.

I would like to thank my family for their unwavering love and support. A very special thanks goes to my mother, Paula Peters. I am eternally grateful for her unconditional love and encouragement, which has enabled me to achieve my goals.

Finally, I would like to thank the Florida Power and Light Corporation, which has funded this research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	v
List of Figures	vii
Abbreviations and Acronyms	viii
Abstract	ix
Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
Chapter 2. DATA AND METHODOLOGY	7
2.1 Introduction.....	7
2.2 Lightning Data	9
2.3 Radiosonde Data	10
2.4 Logistic Regression.....	12
Chapter 3. RESULTS.....	16
3.1 Model Development.....	16
3.2 Monthly Models.....	26
3.3 Cross-validation	32
3.4 Analysis of Incorrectly Forecast Days.....	46
Chapter 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	55
REFERENCES	59
BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH	62

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Radiosonde-derived parameters used in this study.....	11
Table 2. Contingency table for forecast and observed lightning activity. Quadrant A denotes occasions when lightning was forecast to occur and did occur. Quadrant B denotes occasions when lightning was forecast to occur but did not. Quadrant C denotes occasions when lightning was not forecast to occur but did occur. Quadrant D denotes occasions when lightning was not forecast to occur and did not occur.....	18
Table 3. Predictors and coefficients of the final all-months model for a) eastern Miami-Dade County and b) eastern Broward County, based on the screening regression performed on the entire 14 year data set	20
Table 4. 2 x 2 contingency table for the warm season model for Miami-Dade County. Evaluation statistics, and percent correctly forecast days are included	24
Table 5. Monthly models for Miami-Dade County. Predictors and their coefficients are listed for each model	27
Table 6. Monthly models for Broward County. Predictors and their coefficients are listed for each model	28
Table 7. 2 x 2 contingency table for the combination of the five monthly models for Miami-Dade County. Evaluation statistics and percent correctly forecast days are included.....	32
Table 8. 2 x 2 contingency tables for cross-validation of the five monthly models for Miami-Dade County. Evaluation statistics and percent correctly forecast days are included.....	40
Table 9. Same as Table 8, but for Broward County.....	42
Table 10. 2 x 2 contingency tables for the combined cross-validated five monthly models for Miami-Dade and Broward Counties. Evaluation statistics and percent correctly forecast days are included.....	45

Table 11. 2 x 2 contingency table, evaluation statistics, and percent of correctly forecast days for Miami-Dade and Broward Counties using only persistence.....	47
Table 12. Analysis of days having lightning that was not forecast for Miami-Dade County.....	48
Table 13. Analysis of days with no lightning although lightning had been forecast for Miami-Dade County.....	49

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Map of South Florida Counties. Broward and Miami-Dade Counties are shown in their entirety. The two study areas in these counties are outlined in black. (Map taken from www.mapquest.com)	8
Figure 2. A sample scatter plot of CAPE, modified for afternoon convective temperature, versus observed flash counts in Miami-Dade County. A straight line and a fourth order polynomial are fit to the data. Also included are the equations and R^2 values for the fitted lines. The scatter plot and fitted lines illustrate the non-linear relationship between the predictor and lightning occurrence	14
Figure 3. CSI, FAR, POD, and Bias for a range of threshold values for the eastern Miami-Dade County model	23
Figure 4. CSI, FAR, POD, and Bias by month for the warm season BLR model for eastern Miami-Dade County	25
Figure 5. Graph by month for percent correct days with no lightning occurrence, days with lightning occurrence, and all days for the eastern Miami-Dade County model	26
Figure 6. Plots of evaluation statistics for each cross-validation run for each of the monthly models in eastern Miami-Dade County	34
Figure 7. Same as Figure 6 but for Broward County	36
Figure 8. Cumulative noon to midnight plots of lightning flashes for (a) July 5, 1990, (b) September 22, 1993, (c) May 16, 1999, and (d) June 17, 2002. Panels (a) and (b) are busted 0 forecasts. Panels (c) and (d) are busted 1 forecasts. All bust days are based on the area of interest in eastern Miami-Dade County	52
Figure 9. Hourly lightning plots for the hour starting at (a) 5 PM LT, (b) 6 PM LT, (c) 7 PM LT, and (d) 8 PM LT on May 4, 1998 as a cold front was moving southward into Florida	54

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

BLR	Binary Logistic Regression
CAPE	Convective Available Potential Energy
CG	Cloud-to-ground
CSI	Critical Success Index
FAR	False Alarm Ratio
FP&L	Florida Power and Light Corporation
FSL	Forecast Systems Laboratory
GEM	Global Environmental Multiscale
GPS	Global Positioning System
HLGF	Hosmer and Lemeshow Goodness of Fit
hPa	hectoPascal
IMPACT	Improved Accuracy from Combined Technology
in	inch
IPWV	Integrated Precipitable Water Vapor
kA	kiloampere
km ²	square kilometer
KSC	Kennedy Space Center
LI	Lifted Index
LT	Local Time
MDF	magnetic direction finder
MDR	manually digitized radar
m s ⁻¹	meter per second
NCDC	National Climatic Data Center
NGM	Nested Grid Model
NLDN	National Lightning Detection Network
NMC	National Meteorological Center
Q1	Quartile One
Q2	Quartile Two
Q3	Quartile Three
Q4	Quartile Four
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
TOA	time-of-arrival
UTC	Universal Coordinated Time

ABSTRACT

Statistical guidance equations are developed to determine the probability of noon to midnight lightning activity (the occurrence or non occurrence of at least one flash) in eastern Miami-Dade and Broward Counties during the warm season (May-September). The guidance assumes that the sea breeze provides the dominant forcing for afternoon convective and lightning activity. The guidance product is developed to assist personnel at Florida Power and Light Corporation in deciding whether extra line crews will be needed after normal business hours.

Fourteen years (1989-2002) of warm season lightning data from the National Lightning Detection Network and 1200 UTC Miami radiosonde data are used to develop and test the guidance equations. The lightning data document whether lightning occurred within the areas of interest during the noon to midnight period. The radiosonde data are used to calculate fifty-four potential predictors, including wind, moisture, stability and temperature parameters. Two persistence variables (the previous day's afternoon activity and the current day's morning activity) also are included as potential predictors.

Binary logistic regression is used to relate the noon to midnight lightning activity to the pool of potential predictors. A stepwise screening procedure is used to build separate models for each month during the warm season for each county. Deriving separate monthly models is found to improve forecast skill compared to a single warm season model. Each monthly model generally contains persistence and the wind, moisture, and stability parameters which are known to influence the strength and movement of the sea breeze and convective development.

A cross-validation procedure is used to test the models on independent data and to determine the stability of the models. The cross-validation process reveals that the models are statistically stable and perform well when tested on independent data. The

probability of detection, calculated from the independent testing, ranges from ~67% during May to ~90% in August, while false alarm rates range from ~30% during May to only 15% in August.

Results from independent testing of the models also show that they improve on forecasts based solely on persistence. For example, the threat score for the guidance equations is ~69% versus ~61% for persistence alone. Furthermore, the hit rate improves from ~71% to ~77%. Although persistence is a powerful predictor of lightning activity in South Florida during the warm season, the guidance equations provide superior results.

Days when the models produced an incorrect forecast are examined. When no lightning was forecast but occurred anyway, quartiles of lightning activity are considered. The percent of incorrect forecasts decreases from low activity days (i.e., 1st quartile days, 1-7 afternoon flashes) to high activity days (4th quartile days, >125 afternoon flashes). Thus, incorrect forecasts are least likely on the most important days. Fewer days with lightning occurrence are incorrectly forecast during July and August than during May. A similar trend is observed on days when no noon to midnight lightning was observed but had been forecast.